

Appl. No. 08/936,338  
Amdt. Dated 03/14/2005  
Reply to Office Action of December 14, 2004

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed December 14, 2004. In the Office Action, claims 4, 5, 8, 13, 14, and 17 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but were identified as containing patentable subject matter. Applicants have placed objected claims 4, 13 and 17 into independent form, including limitations from claims 1&3, claims 9&11 and claim 1, respectively. Claims 1, 3, 9 and 11 have been cancelled without prejudice.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance and that the outstanding rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is not applicable, and hence, should be withdrawn.

### *Conclusion*

Applicants respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 03/14/2005

By

  
William W. Schaaf

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

Date: 03/14/2005

  
Susan McFarlane

03/14/2005

Date